

Terminally III Adults (End of Life) Bill – Lords Second Reading Briefing for Peers

Summary

- Marie Curie maintains a neutral position on assisted dying we neither campaign for, nor against, a change in the law. Our core mission is to ensure that as many people as possible have access to high quality care and support when they are dying.
- As the UK's leading end of life charity, we want to share our research and expertise to help inform parliamentarians as they consider the important topic of assisted dying.
- One of the Terminally III Adults (End of Life) Bill's stated policy objectives is that the choice of an assisted death should be available to dying people as part of a holistic approach to end-of-life care, as an option alongside palliative care.
- However, it cannot be ignored that our palliative and end of life care system is
 presently in a perilous state. A lack of sustainable funding for services and limited
 prioritisation of palliative and end of life care means we currently have a postcode
 lottery in access to services, as well as particular barriers to access for certain groups.
- We believe that genuine choice at the end of life cannot exist unless dying people are able to choose to receive high quality palliative and end of life care. Parliamentarians should therefore ensure there are urgent plans to close the gaps in end of life care which exist today included within the face of the Bill.
- Marie Curie worked closely with Munira Wilson MP to table an amendment, which was agreed by MPs during Report Stage of the Bill in the Commons. The amendment requires the government to prepare and publish an assessment of the availability, quality and distribution of palliative and end of life care services as part of the first report on implementation of the Act (to be undertaken within 1 year of the Act being passed).
- But this amendment on its own will not guarantee improvements to palliative and end
 of life care services. Marie Curie is also calling for a new clause requiring government
 to produce a strategy for the improvement of palliative and end of life care services to
 be included on the face of the Bill, in order to ensure plans are in place to address well
 evidenced inequities in access.

The state of palliative and end of life care today

Our palliative and end of life care system is presently in a perilous state. Research published as part of Marie Curie's Better End of Life research programme¹ highlights that whilst the number of people who need palliative and end-of-life care is increasing steeply, our health and care system is already struggling to meet that demand. The largest nationally representative survey of people affected by dying, death and bereavement undertaken in a decade found that:

¹ Marie Curie, 'Better End of Life 2024: "Time to care: Findings from a nationally representative survey of experiences at the end of life in England and Wales", September 2024. Accessed at: www.mariecurie.org.uk/policy/better-end-life-report

- Too many people are dying in pain and without the support they need for their symptoms- 1 in 3 people were severely or overwhelmingly affected by pain in their final week of life;
- Gaps in 24/7 community care are preventing people from dying in comfort at home-1 in 2 people visited A&E at least once in their final three months of their life;
- Patients and unpaid carers are suffering due to poor communication and coordination- 1 in 2 people were unhappy with at least one aspect of care the person who died received;
- Current workforce capacity is insufficient to meet demand for end of life care- 1 in 5 people who died had no contact with a GP in the last three months of life;
- Unpaid carers are taking on significant caregiving roles with little support- 1 in 6 bereaved people met the criteria for 'disturbed' or complicated grief.

Around 90% of us will die with palliative care needs, yet around one in four people currently do not get the end of life care and support they need. And as our population ages and more people are living with, and dying from, multiple and complex conditions, the need for palliative care will continue to grow.

There is currently a lack of sufficient and sustainable funding for palliative and end of life care. On average in 2023/24, the NHS only provided funding for 39% of the cost of Marie Curie's hospices and 48% of the cost of Marie Curie's nursing services. The remainder of the costs for delivering vital end of life care are met by Marie Curie's fundraised income. Whilst we are very grateful for the generosity of Marie Curie's supporters, this is not a sustainable way to fund an essential part of our health and care system.

This lack of sustainable funding for services and limited prioritisation of palliative and end of life care means that there is currently a postcode lottery in access to services. Concerningly, there are particular barriers to access for certain groups, such as people with non-cancer conditions, people living in poverty, those who live in rural areas, and ethnic minority communities. It is important to note that there has not been a national strategy for palliative and end of life care since 2008, and despite the legal duty introduced under the Health and Care Act 2022 on Integrated Care Boards (ICBs) in England to assess the palliative and end of life care services required in their area and commission them, our evidence shows that ICBs are still failing to adequately fund or prioritise palliative care services.²

The Government's own impact assessment of the Bill acknowledged that there are high levels of demand for palliative and end-of-life care across England and Wales, including unmet need and variation in quality of provision, but there is currently limited national oversight of palliative care. It notes that there are currently no official statistics in England and Wales on the number of terminally ill adults, nor the cost of their palliative and end-of-life care, and that as part of the required monitoring and evaluation should the Bill pass, new data would need to be collected on current palliative and end-of-life care experiences.

Marie Curie's proposed amendments to the Bill

Marie Curie maintains a neutral position on assisted dying – we neither campaign for, nor against, a change in the law. But if the Terminally III Adults (End of Life) Bill is to progress,

² Marie Curie, '"The night times are frightening": gaps in 24/7 community care for people at the end of life' Policy briefing, May 2025. Accessed at: https://www.mariecurie.org.uk/document/experiences-at-the-end-of-life-in-england-and-wales

there must be clear recognition that genuine choice at the end of life cannot exist unless dying people are able to choose to receive high quality palliative and end of life care.

We were pleased that an amendment was agreed in the Commons, requiring the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care to prepare and publish an assessment of the availability, quality and distribution of palliative and end of life care services as part of the first report on implementation of the Act (to be undertaken within 1 year of the Act being passed). This requirement mirrors the assessment already required as part of the 5 year review of the Act. Whilst this change will not guarantee any improvements to palliative and end of life care, we believe that in requiring government to publish an assessment of current care provision, it can provide a stronger framework for accountability (of both government and local commissioners) and a firmer basis upon which future policy and spending decisions on palliative care can be made.

But we are asking for further amendments in the Lords; Marie Curie is continuing to call on the UK Government to develop a national strategy for the improvement of palliative and end-of-life care, with targets for improving the availability, quality and distribution of integrated health and care services for everyone with palliative care needs in local populations. We believe an amendment should be introduced to the bill to require the government to prepare such a strategy.

New Clause – Strategy for the improvement of palliative and end of life care services

The Secretary of State for Health and Social Care must prepare and publish a strategy for improvement of palliative and end of life care in England, to include—

- 1) Quality standards for palliative and end of life care services which must be met by all integrated care boards;
- 2) A national strategy and targets for palliative and end of life care, to support 24/7 delivery of local services, in line with the assessment of the current availability, quality and distribution of appropriate health and care services to persons with palliative and end of life care needs (as required by section 43, subsection 4);
- 3) A long term and sustainable funding strategy for palliative and end of life care;
- 4) An approach to establishing NHS leadership for palliative and end of life care delivery, including responsibility for delivery of the strategy, implementation of the national delivery plan, and ongoing monitoring of the availability, quality and distribution of appropriate health and care services to persons with palliative and end of life care needs.

Although legislation on assisted dying in England and Wales is a matter for the UK Parliament, if the Bill becomes law it will impact devolved public services in Wales, where the provision of end of life care is the responsibility of the Welsh Government. Action would therefore be required to ensure that the assessment of palliative and end of life care services, and if agreed a strategy for improvement, takes account of devolution and the appropriate responsibilities of the two governments. Welsh Ministers have set out their ambitions for PEoLC in the Quality Statement, however, further work is required is make these ambitions a reality. Marie Curie Cymru continues to work with policymakers in Wales to ensure that the necessary changes are made to ensure that everyone can access high quality PEoLC in the right place, at the right time, and will continue to monitor the progress of this Bill to consider its implications for devolved policymaking.

We would also welcome consideration as to whether an amendment could be made to the declaration process within the bill, which would guarantee that terminally ill adults if they so request would have a right to have their palliative and end of life care needs assessed by an appropriate professional, and be provided palliative care needs in line with those assessed needs. This is a right we would want to see guaranteed for all people who are terminally ill - and would be keen to explore whether a commitment could be made in the House to introduce this for this wider group. However, there is a current opportunity in this Bill to ensure that this is at least introduced to ensure those exploring an assisted death do not face an incentive to pursue this on account of inadequate access to the palliative care that they need.

Suggested amendment – guarantee of palliative care assessment and treatment

Clause 8 - Initial request for assistance: first declaration

After subsection (5), add:

- (6) Where a terminally ill adult makes a first declaration, they must, if they so request—
 - (a) have their palliative and end of life care needs assessed by an appropriate health or social care professional, and
 - (b) be provided with palliative and end of life care in line with their assessed needs.

Areas for broader scrutiny

There are several other areas within the Bill which would have a significant relationship with, or impact upon, the delivery of palliative and end-of-life care. Detailed measures in these areas are largely to be secured by regulation, but we would welcome further scrutiny of these areas:

Procedure for prognosis: Prognostication of people with a terminal illness is inherently difficult and the Bill currently includes no detail on how this should be consistently undertaken.

Place of death: the Bill does not currently set out a clear direction for where an assisted death might take place.

The availability of palliative and end of life care: As currently drafted, the Bill would require medical practitioners either conducting initial discussions with patients regarding an assisted death or providing an assessment as to eligibility for an assisted death, to "explain to and discuss... any available palliative, hospice or other care, including symptom management and psychological support". However, this neither recognises nor addresses well-evidenced variations in service provision and access to services.

Provision of assistance: A number of terms here require careful definition in order to clarify the responsibilities and potential liabilities of the coordinating doctor in respect of the provision of assistance during an assisted death. The legislation places no obligation on medical and other professionals to participate in the provision of assistance in accordance with the Act, but it is not clear whether this will apply solely to individual professionals or to providers as a whole.

Approved substance: As currently drafted, the meaning of "approved substance" and the prescribing, dispensing, transporting etc of approved substances would be delegated entirely to secondary legislation. The involvement and liabilities of prescribers should be carefully considered as part of legislative scrutiny of the Bill.

Implications for devolved policymaking in Wales: Legislating for assisted dying poses some complex questions in respect of devolution. While justice is a reserved matter, health is devolved. The Bill as currently drafted straddles both of these areas.

Marie Curie is the UK's leading end of life charity

We're here for anyone with an illness they're likely to die from, and those close to them. We bring 75 years of experience and leading research to the care we give at home, in our hospices and over the phone. And we push for a better end of life for all by campaigning and sharing research to change the system.

For more information or to arrange a meeting to discuss the contents of this briefing, please contact: parliament@mariecurie.org.uk